

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
TAMPA DIVISION**

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE
COMMISSION,**

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 8:20-cv-325-MSS-MRM

BRIAN DAVISON, et al.,

Defendants.

**DEFENDANT BRIAN DAVISON’S OBJECTION TO MOSES & SINGER
LLP CHARGING LIEN AND REQUEST FOR EVIDENTIARY HEARING**

Brian Davison objects to the Notice of Charging Lien filed by Moses & Singer LLP (Doc. 755) and requests an evidentiary hearing. Moses & Singer filed a charging lien in response to the SEC’s Request for Entry of Proposed Order Extending and Modifying Asset Freeze (Doc. 746). Entry of the proposed Order would have resulted in \$322,480.86 of receivership funds being unfrozen and released to Davison.¹

The charging lien should be rejected because:

(1) the Court’s March 16, 2020, Agreed Order Modifying Asset Freeze (“Agreed Order”) authorized the payment of \$75,000.00 for Davison’s legal fees

¹ The chart in the Receiver’s Eleventh Quarterly Status Report lists the value of Bank of America account ending in 8041 as \$322,480.86. (Doc. 706, p.7).

incurred after February 14, 2020, and limited billing to “reasonable local rates not exceeding \$400.00 per hour for the most experienced counsel and \$320.00 for a second lawyer” (Doc. 54, pp.4-5);

(2) Moses & Singer represented to the Court it would bill at the rates and in the manner set by the Court in its Agreed Order “for all attorney’s fees paid from unfrozen receivership funds” (Doc. 111, p.2);

(3) Moses & Singer has billed multiple lawyers at rates ranging from \$335-\$900 per hour;

(4) Davison has already paid Moses & Singer \$291,700 which is far more than a reasonable fee for the services provided; and

(5) Moses & Singer claims to be owed an additional \$571,208.08, plus interest for its services. (Doc. 755, p.2, para. 5). The principal sum alone is \$862,908.08 billed in seven (7) months; from February to September 2020.

I. THE COURT SET REASONABLE HOURLY RATES FOR LEGAL FEES TO BE PAID FROM UNFROZEN RECEIVERSHIP FUNDS

On February 14, 2020, the Court entered an Order Granting Emergency *Ex Parte* Motion for Temporary Restraining Order, Asset Freeze and Other Injunctive Relief. (Doc. 10). That Order required the Commission and the Receiver to “provide the Individual Defendants access to reasonable amounts of their personal assets for necessary living expenses and legal fees.” (Doc. 10, p.9). On March 13,

2020, the Commission filed a Request for Entry of Proposed Order Extending and Modifying the Asset Freeze (Doc. 52).

On March 16, 2020, the Court entered its Order on the Commission's request. (Doc. 54). That Order included provisions on the hourly rates on Davison's attorney's fees to be paid from unfrozen receivership funds and limiting Davison to two lawyers being paid from receivership funds. (Doc. 54, pp.4-5). The Court limited the attorney's fees to "reasonable local rates not exceeding \$400.00 per hour for the most experienced counsel and \$320.00 for a second lawyer" (Id.)

II. MOSES & SINGER AGREED TO BILL IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE COURT'S ORDER

In response to the Court's Order (Doc. 54), Moses & Singer filed a Motion by Defendant Brian Davison to Modify Agreed Order Modifying Asset Freeze. (Doc. 111) (emphasis added). In that Motion, Moses & Singer noted that the Order (Doc. 54) added provisions to the proposed Order "regarding i) hourly rates on attorney's fees paid from unfrozen receivership funds; ii) arguably limiting Davison to two counsel being paid from receivership funds" (Doc. 111, p.2, para.3). Moses & Singer acknowledged receipt of a \$75,000 check from the Receiver and stated "Davison has no objection to [the] Court's requirement as to the local hourly rates for attorney's fees paid from unfrozen receivership funds." (Id. at para. 4-5).

Moses & Singer asked that more than two attorneys be allowed to represent Davison and be paid from receivership funds "**as long as the work is not**

duplicative” and explained “[f]or example, associates hourly rates are often more appropriate for legal research and initial drafting and whose hourly rates are less than the \$320.00 per hour rate the Court has set for Davison’s second lawyer.” (Id. at para. 6) (emphasis added).

The Motion was addressed at a hearing on July 31, 2020, and the Court stated it “wasn’t the Court’s intent that multiple lawyers within the same firm could not be paid, it was just that he [Davison] couldn’t retain multiple lawyers all over the place, law firms.” (Doc. 173, p.71, lines 4-10). At no time during the hearing did Moses & Singer object to the “reasonable local rates not exceeding \$400.00 per hour for the most experienced counsel and \$320.00 for a second lawyer” set by the Court in Doc. 54.

III. MOSES & SINGER HAS BILLED AT RATES FAR IN EXCESS OF THE AMOUNT SET BY THE COURT’S ORDER

Throughout its representation of Davison in this matter, Moses & Singer has billed at rates ranging from \$335.00 to \$900.00 per hour, with the bulk of the work being done by Kent Kolbig and Howard Fischer who bill at \$765.00 and \$730.00 per hour respectively. A summary of the time billed by Moses & Singer for the months of February through September 2020 is attached as Exhibit A and shows the firm billed Davison for **1,297 hours of legal services in seven (7) months.**²

² Davison gives notice that he intends to use Exhibit A as a summary at any evidentiary hearing pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 1006. The source documents for Exhibit A are the Moses & Singer invoices for time incurred from February 2020 through September 2020. Davison will provide copies of those invoices to Moses & Singer upon request.

Exhibit A reflects that Moses & Singer billed time for 5 or 6 lawyers in every month except September 2020; and that **none** of the “second” lawyers billed at a rate of \$320.00 per hour or less as required by the Court’s Order. (Doc. 54).

IV. DAVISON HAS ALREADY PAID MOSES & SINGER MORE THAN A REASONABLE FEE

According to an email from Moses & Singer, Davison has already paid them \$291,700. Davison is unsure whether that sum includes the \$75,000 check from the Receiver Moses & Singer acknowledged receiving. (Doc. 111, p. 2, para. 4). Whether it does or does not, \$291,700 exceeds a reasonable fee for the services Moses & Singer provided in this matter, yet Moses & Singer claims to be owed an additional \$571,208.08, plus interest for its services. (Doc. 755, p.2, para. 5). Just the principal sum claimed equals \$862,908.08 billed in seven (7) months; from February to September 2020.

During that seven (7) months, Moses & Singer attended **two (2) Zoom hearings lasting a total of three (3) hours**,³ took or defended **no depositions**, participated in **no evidentiary hearings or trials** and filed only a **few significant motions or responses, none of which resulted in rulings favorable to Davison**. Its work largely consisted of document review, settlement negotiations with the

³ Minute Entry on May 21, 2020 Hearing (Doc. 99) reflects that the hearing lasted 51 minutes. Minute Entry on July 31, 2020 Hearing (Doc. 167) reflects that the hearing lasted 2 hours and 10 minutes.

SEC, and internal conferences among lawyers each billing hundreds of dollars per hour. Exhibit A shows that Moses & Singer billed Davison legal fees of \$74,711.50 in February, \$134,616.50 in March, \$101,408.50 in April, \$133,861.50 in May, \$147,382.00 in June, \$149,604.50 in July, \$95,692.00 in August and \$15,855.00 in September.⁴

The timekeeping method used by Moses & Singer makes it difficult to ascertain the time spent on any particular task. Each Moses & Singer lawyer billing time to Davison entered a single consolidated timeslip for each day on which work was performed. Davison attaches as Exhibit B, calendars for the months of February through September 2020, on which the time billed by Howard Fischer for that day is annotated, along with the total hours billed to Davison by Fischer in that month.⁵ For all of the dates shown except one, Fischer filed a single timeslip (and that appears to be a misdating of one of the two timeslips), for time up to 12.2 hours in a single day.

From February to September 2020, Moses & Singer filed only a few significant motions or objections including: (a) Davison's Application to Clarify

⁴ By way of contrast, the undersigned entered an appearance for Davison on April 8, 2021 (Doc. 289) and in the nearly two (2) years since has spent 193 hours at a cost to Davison of approximately \$95,000.

⁵ Davison gives notice that he intends to use Exhibit B as a summary at any evidentiary hearing pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 1006. The source documents for Exhibit A are the Moses & Singer invoices for time incurred from February 2020 through September 2020. Davison will provide copies of those invoices to Moses & Singer upon request.

Scope of Receivership (Doc. 81) filed April 30, 2020; (b) Davison's Opposition to Expand Receivership (Doc. 105) filed June 2, 2020; (c) Davison's Memorandum of Law in Opposition to SEC Order to Show Cause (Doc. 160) filed July 29, 2020; and (d) Davison's Motion to Dismiss the Amended Complaint (Doc. 177) filed August 10, 2020. **Davison lost every one of those motions or oppositions.**⁶

The fees claimed by Moses & Singer in its Notice of Charging Lien (Doc. 755) fail to comply with the Court's Order and bear no resemblance to a reasonable fee.⁷ To impose a charging lien under Florida law, "the attorney must show: (1) an express or implied contract between attorney and client; (2) an express or implied understanding for payment of attorney's fees out of the recovery; (3) either an avoidance of payment **or a dispute as to the amount of fees**; and (4) timely notice." Sinclair, Louis, Siegel, Heath, Nussbaum & Zavertnik, P.A. v. Baucom, 428 So.2d 1383 (Fla.1983) (emphasis added). "A summary proceeding in the original action represents the preferred method of enforcing an attorney's charging lien in Florida." Id. at 1385.

⁶ Davison's Application to Clarify Scope of Receivership; Opposition to Expand Receivership and Opposition to SEC Order to Show Cause were denied by the Court's Order dated August 17, 2020. (Doc. 184). Davison's Motion to Dismiss was denied in its entirety by the Court's Order dated . (Doc. 277).

⁷ The simultaneously filed Objection to Application to Modify Asset Freeze (Doc. 754), misstates the nature of the Assignment that was part of Davison's Settlement Agreement with the SEC. The Assignment provides that "those assets described in the accounts included in Exhibit B(i) and (vii), those sums shall be turned over to attorneys at Moses & Singer LLP, counsel for Davison, **for disbursement as directed by Davison.**" (emphasis added).

By filing a Notice of Charging Lien in this proceeding, Moses & Singer has asked the Court to adjudicate both its right to a charging lien and the appropriate amount of such lien. Davison challenges the right to a charging lien and the amount of fees owed to Moses & Singer, if any. If the amount of a reasonable fee is less than the \$291,700 Davison has already paid, he is entitled to a refund of the excess (and if the \$291,700 does not include the \$75,000 check from the Receiver to Moses & Singer); that sum as well. See Allie v. Ionata, 503 So.2d 1237, 1239 (Fla. 1987) (“the intent of the present rules [of civil procedure] will be best served by holding that a compulsory counterclaim in recoupment permits the recovery of an affirmative judgment even though barred as an independent cause of action by the running of the statute of limitations.”).

The fees claimed by Moses & Singer violate the Court’s Order and exceed a reasonable fee by orders of magnitude.

V. CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, the Court should enter its Order denying the Charging Lien in its entirety or, alternatively: (a) requiring Moses & Singer to comply with the Court’s Order (Doc. 54) as to hourly rates and limitations on the number of lawyers who can bill Davison; (b) finding that the sum already paid by Davidson equals or exceeds a reasonable fee for the services provided; (c) requiring Moses & Singer to refund to Davison any amount paid in excess of a reasonable fee; and (d) for such other and further relief as the Court may deem appropriate.

If the Court believes it needs to hear evidence as to the reasonable attorney's fees earned by Moses & Singer, Davison requests an evidentiary hearing on same and the ability to take discovery from Moses & Singer related to the amount of a reasonable fee since Moses & Singer submitted itself to the jurisdiction of the Court for all purposes by moving for admission of its lawyers *pro hac vice* and filing the Notice of Charging Lien.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been filed via the Court's CM/ECF system on this 17th day of January 2023.

/s/ Stanley T. Padgett
Stanley T. Padgett, Esquire
Florida Bar No. 348686
PADGETT LAW, P.A.
201 E. Kennedy Blvd., Suite 600
Tampa, FL 33602
(813) 230-9098
(866) 896-7664 (Fax)
Email: spadgett@padgettlawpa.com
Co-Counsel for Defendant,
Brian Davison

MOSES & SINGER LLP TIME

Prepared By	Initials	Date
Approved By		

	#690	#765	#730	#335	#340	#335	
2020							
MARCH	Fleesler	Kolbig	Fischer	Barrocas	Rescigno	Danieli	TOTAL
1	1.2		1.8				3.0
2	2.6	3.2	4.9				10.7
3	6.9	3.7	6.3				16.9
4	7.1	4.4	4.4	2.8	0.6		19.3
5	4.3	1.3	5.2	6.5	0.2		17.5
6	1.1	1.1	5.3	2.2			9.7
7	0.4						0.4
8	0.9		0.6				1.5
9	4.1	2.1	0.9				7.1
10	2.1	1.3	0.7				4.1
11	1.1						1.1
12	3.2	3.1	0.7		0.2		7.2
13	0.9	2.8					3.7
14							
15	0.3		0.7				1.0
16	2.2	1.5	5.4		0.8		9.9
17	1.2	2.3	4.6		1.4		9.5
18	2.1	2.6	3.2		1.1		9.0
19	1.3	1.7	4.3		1.8		9.1
20	0.9	2.1	4.3		0.5		7.8
21		0.4					0.4
22							
23		2.3	6.2				8.5
24	1.0	2.7	8.9				12.6
25	0.5	1.2	4.1		2.3		8.1
26	0.3	1.8	3.1				5.2
27	0.4		1.1		1.1		2.6
28							
29							
30	0.5	1.1	2.6				4.2
31		1.5	4.2		1.3		7.0
32							
Totals	46.6	44.2	83.5	11.5	11.3		197.1
	x #690	x #765	x #730	#335	#340		
	\$32,154	\$33,813	\$60,955	\$3,852.50	\$3842		\$134,616.50

MOSES & SINGER LLP TIME

Prepared By	Initials	Date
Approved By		

	#690	#765	#730	#335	#340	#335	TOTAL
2020 APRIL	Fleesler	Kolbig	Fischer	Barrocas	Rescigno	Danieli	
1		2.8	7.8		0.8		11.4
2		1.3	7.9				9.2
3	0.5	2.5	6.3		1.0		10.3
4			0.5				0.5
5			0.8				0.8
6		1.8	3.4				5.2
7		0.8	2.7				3.5
8		0.7	3.0		1.1		4.8
9		1.2	5.4		1.1		7.7
10							
11							
12		0.6	2.2	1.7			4.5
13		0.9	2.4	3.6	0.7		7.6
14			2.7	3.8	0.8		7.3
15		1.2	1.1	7.7			10.0
16		0.9	1.5	2.6			5.0
17							
18							
19							
20		1.5	7.2	5.4			14.1
21		0.8	2.7	5.5			9.0
22			0.9	5.1			6.0
23			1.9	1.7	0.2		3.8
24		1.0	4.2	2.8			8.0
25				1.3			1.3
26		0.5	1.9	4.8			7.2
27		1.4	5.8	1.4	0.4		9.0
28		1.6	8.9	5.5	0.9		16.9
29		0.8	6.2	0.3			7.3
30							
31							
32							
33	Totals	0.5	22.3	87.4	53.2	7.0	170.4
34							
35	x#690	x#765	x#730	x#335	x#340		
36							
37	#345	#17,059.50	#63,802	#17,822	#2,380		#101,408.50
38							
39							
40							

MOSES & SINGER LLP TIME

Prepared By	Initials	Date
Approved By		

	#690	#765	#730	#335	#340	#335	TOTAL
2020							
MAY	Fleesler	Kolbig	Fischer	Barrocas	Rescigno	Danieli	
1		2.7	2.7		1.3		6.7
2							
3							
4		0.9	3.8				4.7
5		1.8	3.2				5.0
6		2.5	8.7	0.9			12.1
7		2.3	8.4	5.1	1.1		16.9
8			0.3	1.5	0.8		2.6
9							
10							
11		0.6	1.3	2.1			4.0
12		0.7	0.7		0.2		1.6
13		0.4	1.8		2.0		4.2
14		1.3	1.1		1.2		3.6
15		0.9	2.7				3.6
16							
17							
18		3.8	6.8				10.6
19		2.5	6.7		1.7		10.9
20		2.6	8.3		2.2		13.1
21	0.3	4.6	7.1		2.3		14.3
22	0.6	2.7	3.8				7.1
23	2.1						2.1
24							
25	0.3		5.4				5.7
26	0.5	4.4	7.4				12.3
27		6.5	7.9		1.7		16.1
28		3.3	8.3				11.6
29		3.7	7.2				10.9
30			6.4				6.4
31		2.3	5.7				8.0
32							
Totals	3.8	50.5	115.7	9.6	14.5		194.1
	x #690	x #765	x #730	x #335	x #340		
	\$2,622	\$38,632.50	\$84,461	\$3,216	\$4,930		\$133,861.50

MOSES & SINGER LLP TIME

Prepared By	Initials	Date
Approved By		

	#690	#765	#730	#335	#340	#520	
2020							
JUNE	Fleesler	Kolbig	Fischer	Barrocas	Rescigno	Kolod	TOTAL
1		3.8	6.8				10.6
2		2.0	4.7				6.7
3		0.8	0.9		1.5		3.2
4		3.0	3.8		2.7		9.5
5		3.8	1.5		1.4		6.7
6							
7							
8			1.0		1.1		2.1
9	2.6	1.0	4.9		2.2		10.7
10	1.2	1.3	6.1	3.3			11.9
11		2.0	3.5	6.1			11.6
12	0.2		3.4	6.3			9.9
13							
14			2.4				2.4
15		1.3	8.8	2.0	1.3		13.4
16		2.5	7.9	8.0			18.4
17	0.5	2.0	6.9				9.4
18		2.0	5.8	6.7	0.7		15.2
19	0.3	0.5	3.1	7.6			11.5
20							
21							
22		1.5	2.7	4.2			8.4
23		2.5	8.9	3.9			15.3
24	4.9	1.3	5.8				12.0
25	5.2	2.0	6.0	1.5			14.7
26	2.3			3.4			6.8
27	1.4					1.1	1.4
28			2.8				2.8
29	2.1	1.5	8.3			1.5	13.4
30	1.6		5.8		1.1	1.1	9.6
31							
Totals	22.3	34.8	111.8	53.0	12.0	3.7	237.6
	x #690	x #765	x #730	x #335	x #340	x #520	
	\$15,381	\$26,622	\$81,614	\$17,755	\$4,080	\$1,924	\$147,382

MOSES & SINGER LLP TIME

Prepared By	Initials	Date
Approved By		

	#690	#765	#730	#335	#340	#520	
2020							
July	Fleester	Kolbig	Fischer	Barrocas	Rescigno	Kolod	TOTAL
1		4.5	7.9			2.5	14.9
2		2.0	4.3				6.3
3							
4			4.5				4.5
5	0.6	2.0	6.8		2.2	4.2	15.8
6	0.3		2.3			0.8	3.4
7			1.0		2.3	2.7	6.0
8		2.0	3.9	4.0	2.2		12.1
9		4.0	7.9	6.6		7.5	26.0
10							
11		2.0	0.4				2.4
12							
13		1.8	0.5			2.3	4.6
14		0.6	1.1			4.8	6.5
15		1.0	1.0				2.0
16							
17		3.2	5.4		0.8	3.5	12.9
18		2.8	6.3				9.1
19		1.1	4.4			6.7	12.2
20		1.7	5.8				7.5
21		1.2	2.2				3.4
22			4.3				4.3
23		2.5	12.2		2.2	3.4	20.3
24		1.4	10.4	2.9		5.8	20.5
25		2.0	5.9			0.7	8.6
26		2.4	9.3		0.7		12.4
27		4.4	6.2			3.4	14.0
28							
29							
30							
31							
32							
33							
34							
35							
36							
37							
38							
39							
40							
Totals	0.9	42.6	114.0	13.5	10.4	48.3	229.7
	x #690	x #765	x #730	x #335	x #340	x #520	
	\$621	\$32,589	\$83,220	\$4,522.50	\$3,536	\$25,116	\$149,604.50

MOSES & SINGER LLP TIME

Prepared By	Initials	Date
Approved By		

	# 970	# 765	# 730	# 335	# 340	# 520	TOTAL
2020							
AUGUST	WOLF	Kolbig	Fischer	Barrocas	Rescigno	Kolod	
1							
2							
3		1.0	6.4		0.3		7.7
4		1.5	7.8		0.5	3.0	12.8
5		1.5	5.6				7.1
6		1.8	9.3				11.1
7		1.5	6.4				7.9
8						4.3	4.3
9			3.4				3.4
10		2.5	6.3			3.0	11.8
11		1.0	3.9				4.9
12		1.3	2.9				4.2
13		1.0	2.1			2.1	5.2
14		0.6	2.1			2.7	5.4
15							
16							
17			0.6				
18		1.8	4.1			3.7	4.3
19		1.2	2.1				5.9
20		0.7	1.4			2.9	6.2
21			1.2				2.1
22							1.2
23							
24		1.5	8.3				9.8
25		0.6	3.1				3.7
26	1.0	0.9	1.8			2.5	6.2
27		0.7	1.7				2.4
28		0.7	4.2				4.9
29							
30							
31			4.6				4.6
32							
Totals	1.0	21.8	89.3	—	0.8	24.2	137.1
	x #970	x #765	x #730		x #340	x #520	
	\$ 970	\$16,677	\$165,189		\$272	\$12,584	\$95,692

MOSES & SINGER LLP TIME

Prepared By	Initials	Date
Approved By		

	#690	#765	#730	#335	#340	#335	
2020							
SEPT	Fleesler	Kolbig	Fischer	Barrocas	Rescigno	Danieli	TOTAL
1		0.4	3.1				3.5
2			0.6				0.6
3			0.4				0.4
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9			1.1				1.1
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15			1.0				1.0
16			0.7				0.7
17			0.6				0.6
18							
19							
20							
21			2.0				2.0
22			1.9				1.9
23							
24			1.9				1.9
25			4.1				4.1
26							
27			1.8				1.8
28							
29			1.6				1.6
30			0.5				0.5
31							
32							
33	Totals	0.4	21.3				21.7
34							
35		x #765	x #730				
36							
37		#306	#15,549				#15,855
38							
39							
40							

H.F.
37.8

February 2020

March 2020

Su	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Mo	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Tu	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
We	22	23	24	25	26	27	28
Th	29	30	31				
Fr							
Sa							

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
26	27	28	29	30	31	1
2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
16	17	18 3.8	19 11.1	20 11.1	21 4.8	22
23 4.8	24 2.3	25 1.1	26 1.1	27 1.1	28 1.4	29

April 2020
 Su Mo Tu We Th Fr Sa
 5 6 7 8 9 10 11
 12 13 14 15 16 17 18
 19 20 21 22 23 24 25
 26 27 28 29 30

H.F.
 83.5

March 2020

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
1 1.8	2 4.9	3 6.3	4 4.4	5 5.2	6 5.3	7
8 0.6	9 0.9	10 0.7	11 3.2	12 0.7	13 0.7	14
15 0.7	16 5.4	17 4.6	18 3.2	19 4.3	20 4.3	21
22	23 6.2	24 8.9	25 4.1	26 3.1	27 1.1	28
29	30 2.6	31 4.2	1	2	3	4

H.F.
87.4

April 2020

May 2020

Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
17	18	19	20	21	22	23
24	25	26	27	28	29	30
31						

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
29	30	31	1 7.8	2 7.9	3 6.3	4
5	6 0.8	7 3.4	8 2.7	9 3.0	10 5.4	11
12	13 2.2	14 2.4	15 2.7	16 1.1	17 1.5	18
19	20 7.2	21 2.7	22 0.9	23 1.9	24 4.2	25
26	27 1.9	28 5.8	29 8.9	30 6.2	1	2

June 2020
 Su Mo Tu We Th Fr Sa
 1 2 3 4 5 6
 7 8 9 10 11 12 13
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20
 21 22 23 24 25 26 27
 28 29 30

H.F.
 115.7

May 2020

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
26	27	28	29	30	1 2.7	2
3	4 3.8	5 3.2	6 8.7	7 8.4	8 0.3	9
10	11 1.3	12 0.7	13 1.8	14 1.1	15 2.7	16
17	18 6.8	19 6.7	20 8.3	21 7.1	22 3.8	23
24	25 5.4	26 7.4	27 7.9	28 8.3	29 7.2	30 6.4
31 5.7	1	2	3	4	5	6

July 2020

Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
12	13	14	15	16	17	18
19	20	21	22	23	24	25
26	27	28	29	30	31	

H.F.
111.8

JUNE 2020

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
31	1 6.8	2 4.7	3 0.9	4 3.8	5 1.5	6
7	8 1.0	9 4.9	10 6.1	11 3.5	12 3.4	13
14	15 8.8	16 7.9	17 6.9	18 5.8	19 3.1	20
21	22 2.7	23 8.9	24 5.8	25 6.0	26	27
28	29 8.3	30 5.8	1	2	3	4

August 2020

Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19	20	21	22
23	24	25	26	27	28	29
30	31					

H.F.
114.0

July 2020

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
28	29	30	1 7.9	2 4.3	3	4
5 4.5	6 6.8	7 2.3	8 1.0	9 3.9	10 7.9	11
12	13 0.4	14	15 0.5	16 1.1	17 1.0	18
19	20 5.4	21 6.3	22 4.4	23 5.8	24 2.2	25
26 4.3	27 12.2	28 10.4	29 5.9	30 9.3	31 6.2	1

September 2020
 Su Mo Tu We Th Fr Sa
 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

H.F.
 89.3

August 2020

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
26	27	28	29	30	31	1
2	3 6.4	4 7.8	5 5.6	6 9.3	7 6.4	8
9	10 6.3	11 3.9	12 2.9	13 2.1	14 2.1	15
16	17 0.6	18 4.1	19 2.1	20 1.4	21 1.2	22
23	24 8.3	25 3.1	26 1.8	27 1.7	28 4.2	29
30	31 4.6	1	2	3	4	5

H.F.
21.3

September 2020

October 2020
 Su 4 11 18 25
 Mo 5 12 19 26
 Tu 6 13 20 27
 We 7 14 21 28
 Th 8 15 22 29
 Fr 9 16 23 30
 Sa 10 17 24 31

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
30	31	1 3.1	2 0.6	3 0.4	4	5
6	7	8	9 1.1	10	11	12
13	14	15 1.0	16 0.7	17 0.6	18	19
20	21 2.0	22 1.9	23	24 1.9	25 4.1	26
27 1.8	28 1.6	29 0.5	30	1	2	3